

1 Thomas P. Hanrahan (SBN 110609)
thanrahan@sidley.com
2 **SIDLEY AUSTIN BROWN & WOOD LLP**
555 West Fifth Street, Suite 4000
3 Los Angeles, California 90013-1010
Telephone: (213) 896-6000
4 Facsimile: (213) 896-6600

5 Attorneys For Defendant
Pfizer, Inc.

6 [other counsel on signature page]
7

8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

10 In re BEXTRA AND CELEBREX) Case No. C 05-3710 MHP
11 MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND)
12 PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION) MDL 1699
13 NANCY MILANO, individually and on behalf) Assigned to: Hon. Charles Breyer
14 of all those similarly situated,)
15 Plaintiff,) STIPULATION AND PROPOSED
16 vs.) ORDER TO CONTINUE TIME FOR
17 PFIZER, INC.,) FILING RESPONSIVE PLEADING
18 Defendant.) TO COMPLAINT OR ANY FURTHER
) PROCEEDING
)
) Date: No hearing required
) Time: No hearing required
) Place: No hearing required

19
20
21 Plaintiff Nancy Milano and Defendant Pfizer, Inc. stipulate as follows:

- 22 1. On or about September 6, 2005, the JPML centralized several related actions
23 as In re Bextra and Celebrex Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation, MDL No.
24 1699;
- 25 2. On or about October 6, 2005, the Honorable Charles Breyer found that Milano
26 v. Pfizer, Inc. was related to In re Bextra and Celebrex Marketing, Sales Practices and Products
27 Liability Litigation, and that Milano v. Pfizer, Inc. should be reassigned to him;
- 28 3. MDL No. 1699 is now pending before this Court;

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER TO CONTINUE TIME FOR FILING RESPONSIVE PLEADING
TO COMPLAINT OR ANY FURTHER PROCEEDING


1 4. This Court has not yet held an initial status conference for MDL No. 1699;
2 and

3 5. The parties agree that it would be more efficient for all involved to stay any
4 further proceedings until after the Court has held the initial status conference for MDL No. 1699 and
5 set a briefing schedule for Defendant's response.

6 THEREFORE, the parties STIPULATE and agree that Defendant's responsive
7 pleading to Plaintiff's Complaint should not be due, and that any further proceedings in the above
8 captioned cases should be stayed, until after the Court has held the initial status conference for MDL
9 No. 1699 and set a briefing schedule for Defendant's response.

10
11 Dated: October 11, 2005

SIDLEY AUSTIN BROWN & WOOD LLP

12
13 By: 

14 Thomas P. Hanrahan
15 Attorneys For Defendant
16 Pfizer, Inc.

17 Dated: October 13, 2005

COTCHETT, PITRE, SIMON & MCCARTHY

18
19 By: 

20 Frank M. Pitre
21 Attorneys For Plaintiff Nancy Milano

22
23 IT IS SO ORDERED.

24
25 Dated: October 24, 2005

26
27
28 2

STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED)
ORDER TO CONTINUE TIME FOR FILING RESPONSIVE PLEADING
TO COMPLAINT OR ANY FURTHER PROCEEDING

